

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,811	07/09/2003	Masahiko Ogawa	240004US0CONT	8031
22850	7590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ELHILO, EISA B	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			hi
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,811	OGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eisa B. Elhilo	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ın.
Status	•		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is FINAL. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under M	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal ma		s
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 3-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the option of the correct that are objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have bee Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/21/2005.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/21/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Massoni (US 6,187,058) in view of Chan et al. (US 5,368,610).

Massoni (US' 058) teaches a method for dyeing hair comprising applying to the hair a dyeing composition comprising from 0.1 to 5.0% of quaternary ammonium salts (see col. 4, lines 35-45), from 2 to 15% of sodium carbonate (see col. 4, lines 25-31), chelating agent of ethylene diamine tetracidic acid in the amount of 0.05% (see col. 3, lines 11-12 and col. 5, Example D2) and oxidizing agent of hydrogen peroxide in the percentage amount of 6% as claimed in claim 4 (see col. 3, lines 3-7 and col. 5 Example D2), wherein the components of the dyeing composition are packed separately and are mixed together in an applicator bottle and then applied to human hair for 30 minutes to produce the color as claimed in claims 5-6 (see col. 5, lines 1-4). The pH

Art Unit: 1751

of the hair dyeing composition has a range of 6 to 12, which is overlapped with the claimed range as claimed in claim 3 (see col. 4, lines 20-22).

Although Massoni (US' 058) teaches a method for dyeing hair comprising applying to the hair a dyeing composition comprising chelating agents, carbonates, ammonia slats and oxidizing agents, the reference does not teach or disclose a water soluble salts of iron as claimed.

Chan et al. (US' 610) in analogous art of hair dyeing formulations, teaches a method for dyeing hair comprising applying to the hair a dyeing composition comprising ferrous sulfate in the amount of 0.025% (see col. 15, Example 10) and the dyed hair was shampooed and rinsed with water (see col. 15, lines 54-55). It is further taught by Chan et al. (US' 610), that the dyeing composition that comprises iron salt provides a darker color on hair compared to the hair treated with a composition that does not contain iron salt (see col. 15, Example 10 and lines 54-60).

Therefore, in view of the teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to incorporate the ferrous sulfate as a water soluble salt of iron as taught by Chan et al. in the composition that utilized by the method of Massoni (US' 058) with a reasonable expectation of success. Such modification would be obvious because Chan et al. (US' 610) as a secondary reference clearly teaches that the application of the dyeing composition that comprises ferrous sulfate to the hair provides a darker color on hair (see col. 15, Example 10), and, thus, a person of an ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to incorporate the ferrous sulfate as a water soluble salt of iron as taught by Chan et al. in the composition that utilized by the method of Massoni (US' 058) with a reasonable expectation of success for obtaining a dark coloration on hair, and would expect such a method to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Art Unit: 1751

With respect to the molar ratio, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to calculate such a molar ratio by optimizing the amount of the alkalizers in the composition so as to get the maximum effective amount because the reference teaches alkalizing components of carbonate and ammonium compound in the amounts within or overlapped with the claimed amounts, and, thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would expect that the dyeing composition that applied by such a method would have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results. Further, regarding the temperature of the applied dyeing composition, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to apply the dyeing composition at an ambient temperature and, thus, the person of an ordinary skill in the art would expect that the temperature of the dyeing composition is not critical since the dyeing composition would normally applied to the human hair at an ambient temperature, absent unexpected results.

Conclusion

The references listed on from 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Patent Examiner Art Unit 1751

May 12, 2005